

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,572	10/053,572 01/24/2002		Hideto Ohnuma	740756-2422	3447	
31780	7590	01/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ERIC ROBINSON				KENNEDY, J	KENNEDY, JENNIFER M	
PMB 955 21010 SOU	THBANK	ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165				2812		
				DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/053,572	OHNUMA, HIDETO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812		

Advisory Action	10/053,572	OHNUMA, HIDETO					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	·	•	J				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an i	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because;				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	· · ·	, ,					
-	•	Jennifer M. Kenned Primary Examiner	junedy				
		Art Unit: 2812					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the added limitations of "crystallized", and "crystallizing the semiconductor film comprising silicon" denote an order of steps and would require further search and/or consideration.